

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/763,111   | 02/16/2001    | Catherine Enjalbert  | RN98116                 | 3485            |
| 75   | 90 04/01/2003 |                      |                         | •               |
| Jean Louis Seugnet Rhodia Inc 259 Prospect Plains Road |               |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
|  |               |                      | MULCAHY, PETER D        |                 |
| CN 7500<br>Cranbury, NJ 08512-7500                     |               | }                    | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|  |               |                      | 1713                    | Н               |
|  |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | HC-  | - |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | _ |  |
| •  | 09/763,111  | ENJALBERT ET AL.   |   |  |
| Offic Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   | _ |  |
|  | Peter D. Mulcahy  | 1713   |   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication of the Popular  | ation app ars on the cover sheet wi   | th the correspondence address  |   |  |
| Period for Reply   |   | ONITH(e) EDOM  |   |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reication.  4ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON I. by statute, cause the application to become AB | oply be timely filed<br>y (30) days will be considered timely.<br>THS from the mailing date of this communication.<br>ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |   |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed  | l on <u>16 February 2001</u> .  |  |   |  |
| 2a) This action is FINAL.  | n)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |   |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice   | or allowance except for formal mat<br>e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I   | ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |   |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-49</u> is/are pending in the a  |   |  |   |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are   | withdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |   |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-49</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |   |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |   |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction   | on and/or election requirement.   |  |   |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |   |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the E   |   |  |   |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a  | , , , , , , ,   |  |   |  |
| Applicant may not request that any object 11) The proposed drawing correction filed of   |   |  |   |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are requi  |   | sapproved by the Examiner.   |   |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to b   | , ,   |  |   |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  | y the Examinor.   |  |   |  |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  | or foreign priority under 35 H.S.C. &   | \$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)   |   |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  | or foreign priority under 55 0.0.0.   | 3 1 10(a)-(a) of (i).  |   |  |
| ,_ ,_ ,_   | ocuments have been received   |  |   |  |
|  | <ul> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>                                     |  |   |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of   |   |  |   |  |
|  | ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |   |  |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for  | domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   |   |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langu<br>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for  |   |  |   |  |
| Attachment(s)  | • • • •   | •  |   |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper   | 0-948) 5) Notice of I   | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |   |  |

Serial No. 09/763,111

Art Unit 1713

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Takahashi et al., U.S. Patent 5,536,448 or Morrison et al., U.S. Patent 6,433,068 or Okumura et al., U.S. Patent 5,451,632.

The Okumura patent suggests the incorporation of surface treated titanium dioxide into rubber compositions at column 13 lines 20+. The surface treated titanium dioxide can in fact be treated with those surface treating agents as instantly claimed. See specifically column 12 lines 40+. In view of this disclosure it would be <u>prima facie</u> obvious to formulate a mixture with the surface treated titanium dioxide and rubber as instantly claimed.

The Morrison et al. patent shows rubber compositions which can have added thereto surface treated titanium dioxide. See specifically column 4 lines 40+ as well as column 5 lines 1+. In view of this disclosure one of ordinary skill in the art would

Serial No. 09/763,111

Art Unit 1713

find it <u>prima facie</u> obvious to formulate applicants' instantly claimed composition.

The Takahashi et al. reference shows titanium dioxide particles which are surface treated with the treating agents as instantly claimed at column 2 lines 55+. The addition of these particles to rubber compositions as instantly claimed is rendered prima facie obvious by the list of resins bridging columns 6 and 7. Given the extensive listing of resins, it would be prima facie obvious to add these particles to a rubber as instantly It should be noted that obviousness does not require absolute predictability but rather a reasonable expectation of The Examiner maintains that one of ordinary skill in success. the art would have a reasonable expectation of success from this teaching given that the actual resin is not limited so as to exclude rubbers and one of ordinary skill in the art would have an appreciation as to the functionality of the coated pigments when added to rubbers. Applicants have failed to show or allege any unexpected results due to the addition of the titanium dioxide as claimed to the rubber as claimed. As such, the claims are rendered prima facie obvious.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2449. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

Art Unit 1713

The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

P. Mulcahy:cdc March 24, 2003

> PETER D. MULCAHY PRIMARY EXAMINER